jsn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 134 OF 2017

Commissioner of Service Tax – I, Daman … Appellant
Versus
Hi Tech Office Systems …Respondent

Ms. P.S. Cardozo with Ms. Ruju Thakkar for the Appellant.

CORAM: M.S.SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.
DATED: 11TH SEPTEMBER, 2018

PC:-

1. This Appeal under Section 83 of the Finance Act read with Section 35G of Central Excise Act, 1944 challenges the order dated 7th April, 2014 passed by Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

2. Our attention is invited to circular / instruction dated 11 July, 2018 issued by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs directing the Revenue not to file Appeals to the High Court where the tax effect is less than Rs.50 lakhs. It also directs its officers to withdraw the pending appeals where the tax effect is less than Rs.50 lakhs.

3. Ms. Cardozo, the learned counsel appearing in support of the Appeal on instructions from Sushant Kumar, Additional

Commissioner, (Legal), Daman Commissionerate seeks to withdraw this Appeal.

4. Hence, the Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. Refund of Court Fees, as per Rules.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.) **(M.S.SANKLECHA, J.)**