

jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 494 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 1701 OF 2017**

Principal Commissioner of Income Tax, ... Petitioner
Mumbai

Versus

Income Tax Settlement Commission, Special ... Respondents
Bench & 4 Ors.

Mr. N.C. Mohanty, for the Applicant / Petitioner.
Mr. Madhur Agrawal, with Mr. Atul Jasani for the Respondents.

**CORAM: M.S.SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATED: 15TH FEBRUARY 2018.

PC:-

1. This Notice of Motion seeks to set aside the self operative order dated 8th August 2017 passed by the Prothonotary and Senior Master of this Court, rejecting the Petitioner's Appeal for non-removal of office objections on or before 29th August 2017 in terms of Rule 986 of the High Court (Original Side) Rules.

2. We have perused the Affidavit in Support and are satisfied with the reasons indicated therein for non-removal of office objections within the time provided by the order dated 8th August

2017. In the above view, the Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. Office objections are to be removed within a period of two weeks. It is needless to state that, if the office objections are not removed within stipulated time, the Petition will stand dismissed without reference to the Court.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(M.S.SANKLECHA, J.)