

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO. 783 OF 2018
IN
APPEAL (L) NO. 355 OF 2018

Milan Mehta ... Applicant/ Appellant.
V/s.
The Official Liquidator and anr. ... Respondents.

Ms Nidhi Salian I/b Anup Khaitan and Co for the Applicant.
Mr. Hussain Dholkambe for the Official Liquidator.

CORAM : A.S. OKA AND M.S. SONAK, JJ.
DATE : 10th SEPTEMBER 2018.

P.C.:

- 1] Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned counsel appearing for the Official Liquidator.
- 2] There is a delay of 26 days in preferring the appeal. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant states that the notice has been served to the respondent No.2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1 Official Liquidator opposes the prayer for condonation of delay.
- 3] Considering the nature of the impugned order and the explanation offered in the affidavit-in-support, a liberal approach deserves to be adopted. Accordingly, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).
- 4] Place the appeal high upon board on 17th September 2018 under the caption "*admission*". No further adjournment will be granted to the appellant.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)