

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.1883 OF 2012
ALONGWITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.95 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1883 OF 2012**

The South Indian Education Society	..Petitioner
Versus	
The University of Mumbai	..Respondent

**Mr. Arvind G. Kothari i/by Ms. Nandini G. Menon, Advocate for the
Petitioner.**
Mr. Rui A. Rodrigues, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.
**Mr. L. T. Satelkar, AGP for Respondent No.2 in Writ Petition No.1883
of 2012.**

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.
DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2018**

PC.

1] Mr. Rui. A. Rodrigues, learned counsel appearing on behalf of University fairly concedes that the issue raised in the present Petition no more res-integra and covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court, to which one of us (B. R. Gavai, J) is a party in Writ Petition No.444 of 2013 decided on 20th December 2017.

2] The Petition is allowed in the following terms.

- I) The action of the Respondent – University, in not considering the proposal for grant of approval to the

appointment of the faculty members of the Petitioner, on the ground that the draft advertisement is not approved is held to be illegal.

II) The Respondent – University is directed to consider/accept the proposals to be submitted by the Petitioner for grant of approval to the appointment of the faculty members.

III) On receipt of the such proposal, the same shall be decided in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such proposals.

IV) Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

3] In view of disposal of Writ Petition, nothing survives in Contempt Petition. The same is also disposed of.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]