

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.96 OF 2010

Nitin Deshpande

... Petitioner

Versus

Registrar, University of Mumbai & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Shekhar Jagtap for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rui A. Rodrigues for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. Kedar Dighe Asst.Govt.Pleader for Respondent No.4-State.

Mr. Sagar G. Talekar for Respondent No.5.

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI &

B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ

DATE : 20th February 2018

P.C.:

1. Present P.I.L. seeks writ of quo-warranto with regard to the appointment of respondent No.5, who was occupying the office of Vice Chancellor. The respondent No.5, by now, has not only completed his tenure as Vice Chancellor but even subsequent Vice Chancellor has ceased to be in the office. In that view of the matter, the question which is for consideration is only academic in nature.

2] The Apex Court has time and again observed that while exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, this Court should not entertain the issue which remains only academic in nature. In that view of the matter, we are inclined to dispose of this PIL without adjudicating the claim.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J)

(B.R.GAVAI, J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.92 OF 2010

Vasant Ganu Patil ... Petitioner
Versus
The Chancellor, University of Mumbai & Ors. ... Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rui A. Rodrigues for Respondent Nos. 1, 3 and 4.

Mr. Kedar Dighe, Asst. Govt. Pleader for the Respondent Nos. 2 & 7-
State.

Mr. Sagar G. Talekar for Respondent No.8.

CORAM : B.R. GAVAI &
B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ

DATE : 20th February 2018

P.C.:

1] In view of disposal of PIL No.96 of 2010, the present PIL also
stands disposed of on the same ground. In view of disposal of PIL,
notice of motion does not survive and disposed of.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J)

(B.R.GAVAI, J.)