

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.2376 of 2018**

Hiren Hasmukh ThakkerPetitioner
versus
Municipal Corporation for Greater Mumbai and
ors.Respondents

Mr. Pranil Sonawane I/b. Mr. Sagar Kasar, advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. K. H. Mastakar, advocate for the Corporation.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
REVATI MOHITE DERE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th DECEMBER, 2018.

P. C. :

Heard learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

2. The grievance raised in the petition is about unauthorized construction carried by the respondent No.4. The learned counsel for the Corporation, on instructions, submitted that the earlier construction carried by the respondent No.4 was demolished on account of being unauthorized. However, at later point of time, the respondent No.4 again reconstructed and, thereafter, again a fresh notice under Section 314 of Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888 was given to him. The learned counsel for the Corporation makes a statement that this notice would be taken to logical end within a period of two weeks from today.

The statement is accepted.

2. In the light of the above, Mr. Sonawane, learned counsel for the petitioner, fairly concedes that the grievance raised in the petition no more survives at this stage. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

[REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]