

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.549 OF 2018
IN
APPEAL (LODGING) NO.464 OF 2017**

United Corporation

..Applicant/Appellant

vs.

Bhupendra C. Jhaveri and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Vaibhav Sugdare a/w Ms. Rasika Bagkar i/b Bagkar & Co. for the Applicant/Appellant .

Mr. Rajesh Kachare a/w Mr. Amit Potnis & Mr. Rohan Tamhane i/b Tamhane & Co. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.S. OKA &

A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.

DATE : 31st AUGUST, 2018.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos.3 to 6.

2. The appeal preferred by the appellant has been dismissed for non removal of office objections by an order of the learned Prothonotary and Senior Master of this Court dated 1st March 2018 in exercise of powers under Rule 986 of the Bombay High Court (Original) Side Rules.

3. The applicant has set out reasons in the affidavit in support of notice of motion as to why he could not remove office objections within time. Hence, a case is made out for restoration. Accordingly, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) subject to the

condition that the applicant shall remove all office objections within one month from today. If office objections are not removed within the stipulated time, the order of rejection shall stand. If office objections are removed, the appeal shall be listed for admission as per the CMIS date .

(A. M. DHAVALE, J.)

(A. S. OKA, J.)