

● ● ● ● ●

• • • •

as well as delivery challans in respect of these supplies. Based on these deliveries and invoices raised against them, the Plaintiff has made demands from time to time for payment of money on Defendant No.11. Several communications have been exchanged between the parties in this behalf. Defendant No.11 never contested any of these dues and throughout kept assuring the Plaintiff that the dues will be paid.

3. The Plaintiff has tendered the originals of the documents such as the original registration certificate of the vessels in favour of Defendant No.11, agreement dated 19 April 2013 entered into between the parties, office copies of invoices and delivery challans and correspondence between the parties. The Plaintiff has also filed affidavit of evidence of one Madhav Pappu Salian, Partner of the Plaintiff(PW1), proving the various documents.

4. Based on the evidence of PW1, the original documents produced by the Plaintiff in the compilation are marked serially as documents 'P-1' to 'P-50'.

5. Based on the oral and documentary evidence tendered by the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has made out the maritime claim. The Defendants have failed to pay the value of the necessities supplied by the Plaintiff to the vessels owned/chartered by Defendant No.11.

6. The suit is, accordingly, decreed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b) as against Defendant Nos. 2, 6, 7, 8 and 11.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)