

jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 527 OF 2018
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 122 OF 2018
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 526 OF 2018
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO. 121 OF 2018**

The Commissioner of CGST & Central Excise, ... Appellant
Navi Mumbai

V/s.

M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. ...Respondent

Mr. Pradeep Jetly, with Mr. Ram Ochani, for the Applicant / Ori.
Appellant.

Ms. Manasi Patil, for the Respondent.

**CORAM: M.S.SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATE: 28TH SEPTEMBER, 2018.

PC:-

1. Both these Notices of Motion have been taken out by the Applicants for condonation of delay of 255 days and 306 days respectively in filing appeals from the orders dated 6th March, 2017 and 3rd February, 2017 passed by the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Tribunal).

2. Ms. Patil, the learned counsel for the Respondent on instructions states that the Respondent does not oppose

applications for condonation of delay of 255 days and 306 days in filing the appeals by the Applicants.

3. In the above view, the Notices of Motion are allowed in terms of clause (a).

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(M.S.SANKLECHA, J.)