

rrpillai

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1764 OF 2018**

Arvindsingh Prahladsingh Chandock

... Petitioner

vs.

Municipal Corporation of
Greater Mumbai and Another

... Respondents

Mr. Pradeep J. Thorat for the Petitioner.

Ms. Dhruiti Kapadia a/w. Ms. Pooja Yadav for the Respondent.

CORAM : A.K. MENON, J.

DATE : 18th SEPTEMBER, 2018

P. C.

1. At the hearing of this petition the counsel for the respondent states that the Competent authority concerned will hear the petitioner afresh on the representation and the letter dated 27th February, 2018 and pass a reasoned order.

2. Mr. Thorat, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the petitioner is desirous of filing additional reply focusing on the aspect canvassed by him that no permission of any authority is required in order to construct nine additional rooms that are allegedly constructed.

3. Accordingly, I pass the following order :

- (i) Additional reply shall be filed on or before 25th September, 2018 along with additional documents, if any, in support of his case.
- (ii) Whether or not, if such reply is filed, respondent no. 2 shall grant a personal hearing to the petitioner or his Advocate on or before 15th October, 2018 with at least 72 hours notice to the petitioners Advocate of such hearing.
- (iii) The respondent no. 2 shall thereafter pass a reasoned order within a period of 2 weeks thereafter i.e. 5th November, 2018.
- (iv) If the order is adverse to the petitioner no coercive steps shall be taken for further period of two weeks.
- (v) Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(A.K. MENON, J.)

Rajeshwari
Ramesh
Pillai

Digitally signed by
Rajeshwari Ramesh
Pillai
Date: 2018.09.24
11:40:12 +0530