

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
APPEAL NO. 235 OF 2018
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 1136 OF 2018
IN
SUIT (L) NO. 656 OF 2018

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 489 OF 2018

The Advertising Standards Council of India .. Appellant

Vs.

Puro Wellness Private Limited .. Respondent

Mr.Ambadas V. Chatuphale, for the Appellant.

Dr.Birendra Saraf a/w Mr.Hiren Kamod, Mr.Nishad Nadkarni i/b
Khaitan & Co., for Respondent.

**CORAM : NARESH H.PATIL &
G.S.KULKARNI JJ.**

DATE : 04th JULY 2018

P.C. :

. Learned Counsel appearing for the appellant submits
that pleadings in the Notice of Motion are complete.

2. We have perused the orders dated 16/05/2018 &
04/06/2018.

3. In the facts of the case, we are of the view that parties may raise appropriate issue in the Notice of Motion which is to be heard by the learned Single Judge.

4. It is clarified that we have not expressed any opinion on merits of the matter.

5. Needless to mention that if the parties move the learned Single Judge for expeditious hearing, the learned Single Judge would decide the Notice of Motion without being influenced by the observations made in the impugned order.

6. Keeping all issues open, Appeal stands disposed of.

(G.S.KULKARNI, J.)

(NARESH H.PATIL, J.)