

vai

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO.340 OF 2015
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1490 OF 2017

Anmol Fluid Control Products Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.	...Plaintiffs
V/s.	
Windsor Realty Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.	...Defendants

WITH
SUIT NO.516 OF 2015

Sunil Gupta & Ors.	...Plaintiffs
V/s.	
Windsor Realty Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.	...Defendants

Mr.Prathamesh Kamat I/b Kochhar & Co. for the Plaintiff Nos.1, 2, 4 to 12, 21 to 31, 33, 34 and 36.

Mr.Dinyar Madon, Senior Counsel with Mr.Cyrus Ardes Shir, Mr.Rahul Dwarkadas and Mr.Nikita Panse I/b Veritas Legal for the Defendant No.1 in both the Suits.

Ms.Shreya Jha I/b Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas for the Defendant Nos.2, 6, 7 and 8 in both the Suits.

Mr.Udit Mendiratta I/b Trilegal for the Defendant Nos.3, 4, 5 and 9 in both the Suits.

CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA, J.
DATE : 21ST DECEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :-

1. The matters are on board and are placed for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 7th December, 2018.

2. Mr.Madon, learned senior counsel for the defendant no.1 invited my attention to an inadvertent error crept in paragraph 1 of the order dated 7th December, 2018. In the 5th line of the said order, the date mentioned as “22nd September, 2017” shall be read as “25th September, 2017”.

3. In the 6th line of paragraph 1, the number mentioned as “22” shall be read as “32”.

4. Insofar as the praecipe filed by the plaintiffs for speaking to the minutes of the said order is concerned, in my view, the clarification sought by the plaintiffs is not in the nature of speaking to the minutes of the order but is in the nature of modification of the order dated 7th December, 2018 which cannot be permitted on a praecipe. The plaintiffs are at liberty to file appropriate proceedings for modification of the said order.

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)