

pmw

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORIGINAL SIDE

WRIT PETITION (L) NO. 1649 OF 2018

Amit Bhaskar Shirsonkar and Ors.	... Petitioners
Vs.	
The Municipal Commissioner and Ors.	... Respondents

Mr. Amrendra Mishra for the Petitioners.
 Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Counsel and Ms. Kejali Mastakar for the Respondent – BMC.
 Mr. Rajiv Hingu and Mr. Deepraj Shetty i/b. L.H. Hingu & Co. for the Respondent No.4.
 Ms. Punita Arora and Mr. Arora i/b. Arora & Co. for the Respondent No.5.

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.

DATE : 13th AUGUST 2018.

P.C. :

1 Paragraph 1 of the order dated 18th June 2018 reads thus :-

“1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties. The petitioner Nos.1, 2, 3, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 are claiming to be the legal representatives of the original allottees of the rooms in a municipal property. Others are claiming to be the original allottees. The learned counsel for the respondent No.5 states that if the names of the petitioners are included in Annexure-II by the Municipal Corporation or if they are held to be eligible by the Municipal Corporation, the respondent No.5 is willing to execute agreements with the eligible petitioners on par with the agreements executed with several other occupants.”

2 If any of the petitioners have made applications for transfer of tenancies in their name and if the said applications are pending, the same shall be decided within a period of two months from today. Needless to add that in case of those petitioners whose applications for transfer are allowed and tenancies are transferred in their names, the Respondent No.5 will have to execute agreements with them as per the statement recorded in the order dated 18th June 2018.

3 With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)