

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER ORDER NO.465 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION NO.03 OF 2018

IN

APPEAL NO.289 OF 2017

IN

NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO.2370 OF 2014

IN

SUIT (L) NO.994 OF 2014

AND

CHAMBER ORDER NO.466 OF 2018

IN

REVIEW PETITION NO.04 OF 2018

IN

APPEAL NO.250 OF 2017

IN

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2504 OF 2016

IN

SUIT NO.586 OF 2015

Vivek Madhavlal Pittie and Another

...Applicants

In the matter between

Madhavlal Narayanlal Pittie

...Petitioner

vs.

M/s. Manas Shelters Private Limited and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Zal Andhyarjuna a/w. Mr. Harsh Meghani, Mr. B.N. Shukla
and Mr. Arun Unnikrishnan I/b. B.N. Shukla & Co., for the
Applicants.

Mr. A.R. Pai I/b. Mrs. Bina Pai, for Respondent No. 1.

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : SEPTEMBER 17, 2018

P.C.:

- . Parties through their counsel.
2. Heard on Chamber Order Nos. 465 and 466 of 2018.
3. Learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 1/ original Plaintiff submits that so far as the allowing of Chamber Orders for the purpose of prosecuting the review in the capacity of third party, he has no objection, but his objection and contention is to allow the Applicants of Chamber Order to contest the suit as legal heirs of original Defendant No. 1, may be kept open, as for that the Chamber Summons is pending before the trial Court.
4. In view of aforesaid statement, as agreed between the parties, we dispose of the Chamber Orders by allowing the Applicants of the Chamber Orders to prosecute the Review Applications without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the Respondent No. 1/original Plaintiff's to contest the Chamber Summons filed in the Suit.
5. The Chamber Orders stand allowed as per the schedule and accordingly disposed of.
6. List the Review Petitions after eight weeks before appropriate Bench.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)**(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)**