

jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CENRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 132 OF 2017

The Commissioner of Service Tax, - VII ... Appellant
Mumbai Commissionerate

Versus

M/s. Sitel India Ltd. ...Respondent

Mr. Pradeep S. Jetly, with Jitendra Mishra for the Appellant.

**CORAM: M.S.SANKLECHA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.
DATED: 21ST AUGUST, 2018**

PC:-

1. This Appeal under Section 83 of the Finance Act, 1994 (Act) read with Section 35G of Central Excise Act, 1944 challenges the order dated 17th September, 2014 passed by Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

2. The instructions / circular dated 11th July, 2018 issued by the Central Board of Indirect Tax and Customs directs the Revenue not to file fresh appeals and also withdraw the pending appeals where the tax effect is less than Rs.50 lakhs.

3. In the above view, Mr. Jetly, learned counsel appearing in support of the appeal, on instructions of Mr. Vijay Risi, Commissioner, CGST, Mumbai East seeks to withdraw the appeal.

4. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Jitendra
Shankar
Nijasure

Digitally signed
by Jitendra
Shankar
Nijasure
Date:
2018.08.27
11:46:07 +0530

5. Refund of Court Fees as per Rules.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(M.S.SANKLECHA, J.)