

ssp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION(L) NO.1434 OF 2018**

Jegan Louis David Nadar ..Petitioner.

v.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.

Mr.Y.A. Jondhale i/by Jondhale & Co. for the
Petitioner.

Ms.Shital Mane, for the respondent/MMC.

Mr.Sukanta Karmakar, AGP for respondent/State.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 26, 2018

P.C.

. Not on board. Taken on board.

1 Heard learned counsel appearing for the
Petitioner, the learned AGP for respondent/State and
learned counsel appearing for respondent/Mumbai
Municipal Corporation.

2 The Petitioner has tendered an undertaking
which is taken on record and marked as U-1 for
identification. The learned counsel appearing for
the Petitioner states that the Petitioner clarifies
that the undertaking is not filed in terms of any
order of this Court, but the Petitioner has
voluntarily filed the undertaking. We accept the

said statement.

3 The Petitioner has stated in the undertaking that in case his application for regularization is rejected, he will remove the unauthorised structure at his own cost. It is in the light of the undertaking that a limited protection deserves to be granted to the Petitioner. The fact that the Petitioner has agreed to apply for regularization shows that the structures/work subject matter of the impugned notices and impugned orders is illegal. For correcting inadvertent typing mistake in the undertaking, we grant liberty to the Petitioner to make correction in the name of Judges. Accordingly, corrections have been carried out in open court.

4 We dispose of the Petition by passing following order :

ORDER

(i) Undertaking of the Petitioner taken on record and marked as U-1 for identification is accepted;

(ii) It will be open for the Petitioner to apply for regularization of structures/work subject matter of the impugned notices/impugned orders within a period of 6 weeks from today. The application shall be made in prescribed form and by prescribed mode through an Architect;

(iii) The application which may be made by the Petitioner shall be decided within maximum period of

60 days from the date of filing of the application;

(iv) Communication of the order passed on the application be issued to the Architect employed by the Petitioner. Till the date of communication of the order to the Architect, action of demolition on the basis of impugned notices/impugned orders shall not be taken;

(v) If the application for regularization is rejected, then the protection will continue to apply for a period of 6 weeks from the date of communication of the order to the Architect of the Petitioner only with a view to enable the Petitioner to comply with the undertaking;

(vi) On the failure of the Petitioner to apply for regularization within the stipulated period of 6 weeks from today, the Municipal Corporation shall take action of demolition/removal without issuing any further notice to the Petitioner;

(vii) In the event of rejection of the application for regularization, if the Petitioner fails to remove the illegal structures/work within the aforesaid period of 6 weeks, the Municipal Corporation shall demolish/remove the structures/works without any further notice to the Petitioner;

(viii) The Petition is disposed of on above terms.

(RIYAZ.I.CHAGLA, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)

