

Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION
LEAVE PETITION (L) NO. 115 OF 2018
IN
SUIT (L) NO. 524 OF 2018**

Al Turki Enterprises LLC	...Petitioners
<i>Versus</i>	
Kamlesh Rasiklal Shah & Ors	...Respondents

Mr Yogesh Gaikwad, i/b Billawala & Co, for the Petitioners.

**CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 20th April 2018**

PC:-

1. Not on board. Mentioned. Taken on board.

2. There is urgency since the Plaintiff proposes to move for urgent ad-interim reliefs and this Notice of Motion is to be registered in the course of the day. The proposed Suit is for recovery of amount of over Rs. 21 crores and there is an apprehension that properties acquired out of misappropriate funds may be further transacted.

3. Having regard to the fact that the Defendants are all in Mumbai and the cause of action has arisen entirely within the

jurisdiction of this Court as is apparent from paragraph 14 of the Leave Petition and paragraph 15 of the proposed Suit, I do not believe that any leave is required. This Court has jurisdiction to entertain the Suit. Merely because some funds have been sent out of Mumbai will not rob this Court of its jurisdiction.

4. The Leave Petition is dismissed.

(G. S. PATEL, J)