Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1416 OF 2017

Rashtriya Raksha Karmachari and anr. .. Petitioners
Vs.
Union of India and ors. .. Respondents

WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 99 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1416 OF 2017

Ashwarya Raturi .. Applicant

In the matter between

Rashtriya Raksha Karmachari and anr. .. Petitioners
Vs.
Union of India and ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Vijayprakash Yadav, for the Petitioner.
Mr.V.P. Vaidya i/b Mr.Mahendra Agvekar, for Applicant in Chamber Summons No.99 of 2016.
Ms.Jotsna Pandhi, for Respondents.

CORAM : R.M.SAVANT &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : 17th SEPTEMBER, 2018

P.C. :

. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the

Petitioners - Mr.Yadav, on instructions, seeks withdrawal of the above Writ Petition. Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant in Chamber Summons No. 99 of 2016 Mr.V.P. Vaidya opposes the withdrawal on the ground that the filing of the Writ Petition itself was as and by way of a fraud practiced on this Court. Learned Counsel states that the above Writ Petition has been filed by a faction of the Union and by a person who has been removed from the rolls of the Union.

2. The aforesaid contention urged by the learned Counsel for the Applicant in the above Chamber Summons therefore discloses that there is some dispute in the Union as regards who are in fact in-charge of the management of the Union. It is not necessary for us in the present Writ Petition to go into the said aspects. The parties would be entitled to urge their respective contentions in appropriate proceedings. We, therefore, accede to the request of the learned Counsel for the Petitioners seeking withdrawal of the above Writ Petition.

3. The above Writ Petition is accordingly allowed to be

withdrawn and dismissed as such.

4. In view of the withdrawal of the Writ Petition, the above Chamber Summons does not survive and to accordingly stand disposed of as having turned infructuous.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.) **(R.M.SAVANT, J.)**