

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ADMIRALTY & VICE ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION****ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION****COMMERCIAL APPEAL (L) NO.168 OF 2018
IN
COMMERCIAL NOTICE OF MOTION NO.6 OF 2018**

MTM Ship Management (India) Pvt. Ltd. Applicant/
Org. Defendant No.1

**IN
COMMERCIAL ADMIRALTY SUIT NO.196 OF 2016**

Mrs.Hemangi Vaid & anr. Appellant
(Orig. Plaintiffs)

versus

MTM Ship Management (India)
Private Ltd. & Ors. ... Respondents
(Orig. Defendants)

.....

- Ms.Devanshi K. Sanghvi i/b. M.P. Chacharkar, Advocate for Applicant.
- Mr.Rahul Narichania, Senior Advocate with Ms.Jyotika Jain i/b. M/s. Bose and Mitra and Co. for Respondent No.1 to 6.

**CORAM : S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE : 02nd NOVEMBER, 2018.**

P.C. :

1. Having heard the Appellant's Advocate and finding that the impugned order dismissed the suit in its entirety, this Appeal deserves to be admitted.
2. Admit.
3. Let the Appellant's Advocate comply with all the office objections and until then the Appeal shall not be listed for final hearing and disposal. If the compliance is not done within the time prescribed, the Appeal would then stand dismissed for want of prosecution.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)**(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**