IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 238 OF 2016

The Commissioner of Central Excise } **Appellant**

versus

M/s. Larsen and Toubro Ltd. } **Respondent**

Mr. Jitendra B. Mishra for the appellant.

Mr. Prakash Shah with Mr. Jas Sanghvi i/b M/s. PDS Legal for the respondent.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.
DATE :- JANUARY 16, 2018

P.C. :-

In a batch of appeals, a Division Bench of this court, to which, one of us (S. C. Dharmadhikari, J.) was a party, on 8th February, 2016 settled the controversy and which arose out of the order impugned in this appeal. Since identical questions of law proposed by the revenue were considered in the batch of appeals (*The Commissioner of Central Excise, Pune-I vs. M/s. Mahindra Navistar Automotives*[1] and connected matters) disposed of on 8th February, 2016, it is conceded that the said order will govern the disposal of the present appeal. We direct that the appeal stands disposed of in terms of the above mentioned Division Bench order.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.) **(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**

1 **Central Excise Appeal No. 268 of 2014**