

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.825 OF 2017

Meena K. Gangolli

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai

... Respondent

Ms. Sucha Phene i/by Gireesh U.G. Menon for the Petitioner.

Ms. Pallavi Thakar for Respondent – MCGM.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 25th JANUARY, 2018

PC.

1 The learned counsel appearing for the Mumbai Municipal Corporation on instructions states that the proposal submitted by the petitioner has been rejected and that the Municipal Corporation will communicate the reasons for rejection to the petitioner or to the petitioner's architect.

2 In this Petition the challenge is only to the report at Exhibit – A and remark “document rejection – technical rejection”.

3 After reasons are communicated, the petitioner will have to
adopt appropriate remedy in accordance with law for challenging the
rejection of the application.

4 Accordingly, we dispose of the Petition by passing the
following order:-

ORDER

- (i) We direct the Municipal Corporation to communicate the reasons for rejection of the proposal to the petitioner or to the petitioner's architect within a period of two weeks from today;
- (ii) After the reasons are communicated, it will be open for the petitioner to challenge the action of rejection in accordance with law ;
- (iii) All contentions on merits are kept open.

(PN. DESHMUKH, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)