

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2308 OF 2017**

Rehan Khan Ali Raza. .. Petitioner.

v/s.

State of Maharashtra & ors. .. Respondents.

Ms. Sadhna Kumar, advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Milind More, advocate for respondent No. 2.

Mr. Susmit Phatale I/b. Mr. C.A. Acharya, advocate for respondent No. 3.

Mr. S.A. Waradkar, advocate for respondent No. 4.

Mr. U.S. Upadhyay, AGP for State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 13, 2018.

P. C. :

1 Admittedly, there is an alternative and efficacious remedy to the petitioner and therefore, we are not inclined to entertain this petition in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. In that view of the matter, Learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to file appeal before Grievance Redressal Committee. Leave with liberty as prayed for is granted. The Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Talwalkar

2 It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the matter. All points and contentions of the parties are kept open. This order should not be construed as an expression of any opinion.

[SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]