

sg

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.1 OF 2015

IN

COMMERCIAL SUIT NO.2 OF 2012

Maharashtra Seamless Ltd.

...Plaintiff

vs

Siddharth Corporation And Vinod Kaila

...Defendants

And

Suresh B. Dhudeshia

...Respondent

WITH

COUNTER CLAIM NO.11 OF 2012

IN

COMMERCIAL SUIT NO.2 OF 2012

Siddharth Corporation And Vinod Kaila

...Plaintiffs

vs

Maharashtra Seamless Ltd.

...Defendant

And

Suresh B. Dhudeshia

...Respondent

.....

Mr. Reyden L. Gonsalves, i/b. Eventa A. Gonsalves, for the Plaintiff/Applicant.

Ms. Radha Agrawal, i/b. Ashwin Ankhad & Associates, for the Defendants.

.....

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.

DATED : OCTOBER 15, 2018

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the parties. This chamber summons seeks amendment of the plaint by impleading the Respondent as Defendant No.3. Originally, the suit is filed against two Defendants. Defendant No.1 is claimed to be a proprietary firm, whilst Defendant No.2 is claimed to

be the proprietor. In the written statement, the Defendants have taken a stand that Defendant No.1 is a partnership firm and not a proprietorship. The Respondent is the other partner of the firm. Accordingly, the present chamber summons is taken out for impleading the Respondent as the Defendant. The chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Amendment to be carried out within two weeks. The chamber summons is disposed of.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)