

Digitally
signed by
Jayant
Vishwanath
Salunke
Date:
2018.10.03
11:08:46
+0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 94 OF 2018
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 5 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2258 OF 2013**

Indrani Pillay	}	Applicant
in the matter of		
Indrani Pillay	}	Petitioner
versus		
The Trustees of the UCO Bank	}	
Employees Provident Fund	}	
and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. Laxman Venkatesan for the applicant.

Mr. Vishal Khanavkar for the respondent.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE :- SEPTEMBER, 28, 2018

P.C. :-

Having heard both sides, we are of the view that there is a sufficient cause shown for the delay in filing the review petition. That is condoned. The notice of motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). There would be no order as to costs.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)