

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION  
**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 83 OF 2015**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 1398 OF 2013**

Union of India .. Applicant

In the matter between

Union of India and ors . .. Petitioners

Vs.

Atmaram Ruda Parmar .. Respondent

Mr.Rui Rodrigues a/w Ms.Lata Patne i/b Mr.Vinod Joshi, for the Applicant.

Mr.Ramesh Ramamurthy & Mr.Saikumar Ramamurthy, for Respondent.

**CORAM : SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI ACTING C.J.  
AND M.S.KARNIK, J.**

**DATE : 31<sup>st</sup> JANUARY, 2018**

**P.C. :**

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

The respondent has no objection if the Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

For the reasons stated in affidavit-in-reply in support of the Notice of Motion, the Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

**(M.S.KARNIK, J.)**

**(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)**