

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

Digitally
signed by
Jayant
Vishwanath
Salunke
Date:
2018.10.03
11:08:48
+0530

**REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 5 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2258 OF 2013**

Indrani Pillay versus The Trustees of the UCO Bank Employees Provident Fund and Ors.	} } } }	Petitioner Respondents
---	----------------------	---

Mr.Laxman Venkatesan for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Khanavkar for the respondent.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE :- SEPTEMBER, 28, 2018

P.C. :-

1. With consent of the advocates, we have taken up the review petition itself for admission.

2. Upon a perusal of the review petition, we are satisfied that no grounds enabling us to exercise our power of review are made out. The parameters of review jurisdiction are extremely limited. In the garb of review, we cannot sit as an appellate court and revisit all the factual findings. We are not permitted to go behind the judgment and then scan the entire record to find out the alleged errors as are pointed out leave alone rectify them. In

these circumstances, the review petition is entirely misconceived.

It is dismissed. There would be no order as to costs.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)