

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
JUDGE'S ORDER NO. 10 OF 2017
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 151 OF 2017
IN
SUIT NO. 1940 OF 2003

Ashok Madhusudan Bhat & Anita Ashok Bhat ...Plaintiffs
Versus
Lalita w/o Madhusudan Bhat & Ors ...Defendants

Ms Ferzana Behramkamdin, with Bharti Bhansali, i/b FZB & Associates, for the Plaintiffs.
Ms Krithika Anand, i/b Dhruve Liladhar & Co., for the Defendants.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 5th February 2018

PC:-

1. The 1st Defendant died in 2013. Her heirs are already on record being Plaintiff No. 1 and Defendant No. 2.
2. The submission by Ms Behramkamdin on behalf of the Decree Holder is that notice under Order 21 Rule 22 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 should be dispensed with as sought in the

Judge's Order since the only reason for delay in execution is that parties have been negotiating throughout that period.

3. Having regard to these circumstances I will allow the Judge's Order which is signed separately.

4. The Advocates for the Defendants will furnish the address of Defendant No. 2 within two weeks from today.

(G. S. PATEL, J)