

ISM

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 1245 OF 2018**

Mangesh Vijayram Unecha

.....Petitioner

V/s.

Vatsala Tukaram Kale and others

.....Respondents

Mr. Madhav Jamdar for the Petitioner

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.**DATE : 03rd DECEMBER, 2018.****P.C.**

Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner

2 By this Writ Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, Petitioner/Defendant no. 1 challenges the order dated 04/09/017 passed by Civil Judge, Senior Division, Pune below Exhibit 113 in Special Civil Suit No. 347 of 2005 issuing notice to the original Defendant no. 3 to produce documents at serial no. (g) & (h) in the Application of the Plaintiffs at Exhibit 106.

3 It is to be noted that impugned order is not challenged by the
Defendant no. 3 against whom Trial Court has passed order. Bare
reading of the impugned order shows that the Trial Court has not
directed Plaintiff or Defendant no. 1 to produce any documents.
Petitioner failed to make out case, how said order affects his rights.
Therefore, Defendant no. 1 have no right to challenge the said order.

4 Hence, on this ground, the Writ Petition stands rejected.

5 No order as to costs.

[K. K. TATED, J.]