

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO.14194 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.14194 OF 2017**

Atul V.Borikar

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Provident Investment Company
and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.Avinash Fatangare for the Petitioner.

Mr.Ashutosh Kumbhakoni, Advocate General i/by Mr. Akshay
Shinde for Respondent nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
M.S. KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : MARCH 22, 2018

P.C.:

It is not in dispute that the petitioner's service has been terminated during the pendency of this petition. It is also not in dispute that the said termination order has been challenged by the petitioner by way of separate proceedings. In the circumstances, in our considered view, this petition which is relating to challenge to his transfer will not survive. As a result, we dispose of this petition as infructuous. Interim order stands vacated.

2. Civil Application also stands disposed of.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)