

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION (ST) NO.34285 OF 2018

Razia Yusuf Patel

...Petitioner

v/s.

Dena Bank & ors.

...Respondents

Ms.Navareetha i/b Ms.Pooja Singh for the Petitioner.

Mr.A.R.Bamne for the Respondent No.1.

Mr.R.S.Pawar, AGP for the State.

CORAM : A.A. SAYED &**A.K.MENON, JJ.****DATED : 5 DECEMBER 2018****P.C.:**

The only contention urged on behalf of the Petitioner is that instead of Gala No.1, which is the secured asset, the Respondent No.1-Bank is likely to take possession of Gala No.2, which is not the secured asset.

2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1-Bank makes a statement that the Respondent No.1-Bank is proceeding to take possession of Gala No.1 only and not Gala No.2.

3. We accept the statement of the learned Counsel for the Respondent-Bank and dispose of the Petition.

(A.K.MENON,J.)**(A.A.SAYED, J.)**