

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1385 OF 2018

Mr. Pravin P. Patole.] ... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.] ... Respondents

Smt. Sangita Patil for Applicant.

Mr. K. V. Saste, APP for State – Respondent No.1.

Smt. Nilam for Respondent No.2.

CORAM :- B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE :- DECEMBER 14, 2018

P. C. :-

1. The Applicant – accused is present with his Counsel. The Respondent No.2 – Complainant is also present with her Advocate. Learned APP appears for State.

2. The matter was heard in the first half and adjourned to second half to enable the Petitioner and the Respondent No.2 to show their bonafides.

3. Respective Counsel, upon instructions, state that if some time is granted, they would pay reasonable costs to the Respondent No.1 – State machinery.

4. In this situation, we direct the Petitioner and the Respondent No.2 to pay Rs.10,000/- each to Chief Minister Fund within two weeks from today.

5. Subject to such payment, in view of joint request and after considering the facts on record, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)