

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.5145 OF 2018

Santosh Sunil Gudhekar

.... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

- Mr.Prashant Thombre, Advocate for Petitioner.
- Mr.Shubham G. More, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
- Mrs.S.D. Shinde, APP for Respondent/State.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 10th DECEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

1. Petitioner is present with his Advocate. Respondent No.2 is present with her Advocate and father.
2. Parties appear to be residing in same area and because of intervention of elders in the family for welfare of Petitioner, a decision to withdraw complaint appears to have been taken.

3. The Petitioner states that presently he is earning and supporting family. He is trying for some correspondence course and assures Court to secure good marks.

4. In view of readiness and willingness shown by Petitioner, after questioning father of Respondent No.2 Complainant, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)