

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 31 OF 2017

M/s. Shriram Transport	}	
and Sand Suppliers	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mr. Manukumar Shrivastav	}	Respondent

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 309 OF 2017

Mr. Avinash Mhaskuji	}	
Kawade	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mr. Manukumar Shrivastav	}	Respondent

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (ST) NO. 11232 OF 2017

Mr. Hemant Shashikant	}	
Chitnis	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mr. Manukumar Shrivastav	}	Respondent

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (ST) NO. 11236 OF 2017
WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (ST) NO. 11239 OF 2017

Mr. Santosh Bansi Yevale	}	
	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mr. Manukumar Shrivastav	}	Respondent

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION (ST) NO. 11242 OF 2017

Mr. Kisan Sudam Tambe	}	
	}	Petitioner
versus		
Mr. Manukumar Shrivastav	}	Respondent

Mr. P. S. Dani-Senior Advocate with
Mr.Sachin R. Pawar for the petitioner.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE :- FEBRUARY 21, 2018

P.C. :-

1. After having heard Mr. Dani learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr. Samant-AGP appearing for the State, we have noticed that subsequent to the directions of the Division Bench, several orders have been passed and some of which may not have redressed the grievance of the petitioners completely.

2. In the circumstances, we do not think that a case of civil contempt is made out. The remedy of the petitioner is to challenge the legality and validity of orders, which do not completely redress his grievance.

3. The contempt petition is, therefore, disposed of with liberty as prayed.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)