

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.599 OF 2013

Smt. Usha Parikh @ Shah

...Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

...Respondents

None for the Applicant.

Mrs. M.R. Tidke, APP for respondent No.1/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR, J.

DATED : 26th OCTOBER 2018.

P.C. :

1. None represented the applicant on 6th June 2018 and 18th July 2018. Today also, nobody represented the applicant when the application is called out for hearing.
2. The learned Counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 5 is present and he insisted that the application may be rejected for want of prosecution as the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the instant application. He submits that in past also, the application

was dismissed for want of prosecution by this Court.

3. Considering the submissions so advanced and as on last two occasions, nobody represented the applicant and as even today also, nobody is present for the applicant when the application is called out for hearing, the application is rejected for want of prosecution.

(A.M.BADAR J.)