

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1393 OF 2018

IN

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION 1446 OF 2017

Suraj @ Pape Shankar Daulatani

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

...

Mr. Bharat Manghani for the applicant.

Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent-State.

...

CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.

DATE : 29th NOVEMBER, 2018.

P.C.

1. Not on board. Taken on board.
2. The applicant was granted bail vide order dated 2nd November, 2017. On executing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- on furnishing one or two solvent surety in the like amount. The applicant was also released on depositing cash security in the amount which is 25,000/- for a period of four weeks. Subsequently, by order dated 12th December, 2017 time to furnish surety was extended by six weeks. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that requisite documents for furnishing documents are presented at the Registry of trial Court and

acceptance is under process. Apparently, the time to furnish surety or permission to continue on cash security was not extended after the order dated 12th December, 2017. Learned advocate for the applicant further submitted that the applicant had been attending the Court regularly in accordance with the order passed by this Court. It is submitted that on account of non extension of time to furnish surety, the Registry of the trial Court has not accepted surety and therefore it is prayed that time to furnish surety be extended and cash bail facility be extended for six weeks.

3. In the circumstances, time to execute the surety bond is extended by six weeks and facility of cash bail granted to the applicant is also extended by six weeks.

4. Application stands disposed off.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)