

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION No. 3052 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 9579 OF 2016

Popat Baburao Gaikwad. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Sonal Dabholkar i/b Suresh Sabrad for the Applicant.
M. P. Thakur, AGP for the State.
Rohit Sonawane i/b U. B. Nighot for Respondent No. 4 to 9.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.
Date : **January 12, 2018.**

P. C. :

1. On 5th April 2017, the above writ petition was dismissed by the Registrar (Judicial) for non removal of office objections. The learned Counsel for the Applicant has made the necessary averments in paragraph 5 of the civil application, explaining the circumstances under which the office objections could not be removed within the stipulated time. Today, the learned Counsel for the Applicant makes a statement that within two weeks from today he will remove all office objections.

2. In above circumstances, we restore the writ petition to the file by condoning delay, as the said delay is properly explained by making necessary averments in paragraph 7. Hence, civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) (c) and (d). Civil Application stands disposed of.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]