

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11065 OF 2017**

Nasir Khan Shafaat Khan and ors. ....Petitioners  
Versus  
Union of India and ors. ...Respondents

**AND**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11762 OF 2017**

Prem Laxman Sonpure and ors. ....Petitioners  
Versus  
Union of India and ors. ...Respondents

**AND**

**WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 33025 OF 2017**

Sanjay B. Jagtap and ors. ....Petitioners  
Versus  
The Union of India and ors. ...Respondents

**WITH**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 926 OF 2018**

Sheikh Aziz Sheikh Rashid and ors. ....Petitioners  
Versus  
The Union of India and ors. ...Respondents

Mr. V.P. Vaidya i/b Mr. M.M. Agavekar for the Petitioners in  
WP 11065/2017 and 11762/2017.

Mr. Shantanu Chandratre for the Petitioner in WP 926 of  
2018 and WP (St) No.33025/2017.

Mr. T.J. Pandian for the Respondent/UOI in all petitions.

**CORAM : SMT. V. K. TAHILRAMANI, Acting C.J. &  
M. S. SONAK, J.**

**DATE : APRIL 9, 2018.**

**P.C.**

1] Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2] In all these writ petitions, the challenge is to the common judgment and order made by the Central Administrative Tribunal, (CAT), Mumbai in several Original Applications, on the ground of limitation.

3] There is no dispute that as against the very same common judgment and order, some of the original applicants had instituted Writ Petition No. 14545 of 2017, 14544 of 2017 and 14546 of 2017 before the High Court Judicature at Bombay (Aurangabad Bench). By the judgment and order dated 27<sup>th</sup> February 2018, these petitions, came to be dismissed.

4] Accordingly, for the reasons set out in the judgment and order dated 27<sup>th</sup> February 2018 in Writ Petition No. 14545 of 2017 and connected matters, we dismiss these petitions as well.

5] These petitions are accordingly, dismissed. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

**(M.S. SONAK, J.)**

**(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)**