

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4793 OF 2018

Maulik Nandan Dixit and anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Aviral Sahai a/w Kritika Sethi i/by Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas for the Petitioners.

Mr. Anand Singh for R. No.2.

Mr. Deepak Thakre, PP a/w Mr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 17, 2018

P.C.:

Heard respective counsel.

2. It is not in dispute that the consent terms at Exh. D are already recorded by the court of Small Causes at Mumbai, Bandra Branch in L. E. & C. Suit No. 200207 of 2014. As per clause (xxxvi), SLP before the Hon'ble Apex Court was also to be withdrawn.

3. Respondent no. 2/Original complainant has also filed affidavit in support of the consent terms before this court.

4. In view of the consent term (xxxvi) mentioned (supra), we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and dispose of the present proceedings.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)