

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.695 OF 2016

Shri Suresh Annu Chougule ... **Applicant**

V/s.

Suresh Baburao Mangavkar & Anr.... **Respondents**

.....

Ms.Saili N. Dhuru h/f. Mr.K.S.Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.A.R.Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 16th JULY 2018.

P.C. :

1 The Registry has reported that notice is duly served on the respondent No.1. None appeared for the respondent No.1 when the application is called out for hearing.

2 Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant/original complainant. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appears for the respondent/State.

3 The delay of 24 days is occasioned in filing the application for leave to appeal in challenging the Judgment and

Order of acquittal of the respondent No.1 of the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

4 Sufficient cause is shown for the delay so occasioned and, therefore, the Order :

ORDER

(i) The delay in filing an application for leave to appeal is condoned.

(ii) The application is accordingly disposed of.

Raju
Dattatraya
Gaikwad Digitally
 signed by Raju
 Dattatraya
 Gaikwad
 Date:
 2018.07.16
 16:29:19
 +0530

(A.M.BADAR J.)