

Sequeira

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION*

**CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN. NO. 2174 OF
2018**

Shri Hemant Shyam Sawant and another ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Tejesh Dande, for Applicants.

Ms. Prajakta Shinde, APP for Respondent-State.

***CORAM : S. S. SHINDE, J.
(Vacation Court)***

Date : 5th November, 2018.

P.C. :

Not on Board. On mentioning taken on board.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for Applicants. He submits that due to previous enmity the Applicants have been falsely implicated. In fact, the incident had not taken place. He further invites attention of this Court to the allegations in the first information report and submits that the Applicants are entitled for the relief claimed in the Application.

3. On the other hand, learned APP appearing for the State relying upon the allegations mentioned in the first information report and also reasons assigned by the Additional Session Judge Thane, in the impugned order submits that Application of the Applicants has been rightly rejected.

4. Upon hearing the learned counsel of the Applicants and the learned APP appearing for the State and upon perusal of the allegations in the first information report and also reasons assigned by the Session Court, this Court is of the opinion that the Applicants are not entitled for bail. The specific role has been attributed to both the Applicants in the first information report. It appears that the investigation is in progress. As such the Application stands rejected.

(S. S. SHINDE, J.)