

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 346 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 442 OF 2015
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 346 OF 2015**

M/s.Arise Advertisers Pvt. Ltd. ... Appellant
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai ... Respondent

**ALONG WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 347 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 443 OF 2015
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 347 OF 2015**

M/s. Galaxy Ads. ... Appellant
V/s.
The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai ... Respondent

- Ms.Minal V. Chavan i/b. Mr.Vinay Hegde for the Appellant.
- Mrs.Madhuri More for the Respondent-MCGM.

CORAM : DR.SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.

DATE : 7th SEPTEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

- 1] Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on production board.
- 2] The *Praecipe* is moved by learned counsel for the Appellant for “Speaking to the minutes” of the order dated 3rd September, 2018.

3] It is submitted that the paragraph No.2 and 3 of the order need to be deleted and following paragraphs need to be added.

“2] Learned counsel for the Appellants submitted that the suit hoardings were already installed on suit site and the N.O.C. from MCZMA was required to be obtained to submit it to the Respondent for renewal of Permit on the suit hoardings.”

3] It is further submitted that the Appellant has made an application for the renewal of Permit of the suit hoardings to the Respondent. Since, the said N.O.C. has been obtained by the Appellants, and on the basis of N.O.C. the Appellant is praying for setting aside the order of the Respondent dated 27/10/2014 for revocation of Permit and renewal of Permit of the suit hoardings.”

4] Learned counsel for the Respondent-Municipal Corporation has no objection to correct the same.

5] In view thereof, the paragraph Nos.2 and 3 be replaced as above.

6] Rest of the order remain as it is.

7] The *Praecipe* is disposed of accordingly.

[DR.SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]