

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO. 12293 of 2018

Mr.Sanjay Chandrakant Kirloskar ... Petitioner

V/s.

Municipal Corporation for the City of Pune
& ors. ... Respondents

Mr.P.S.Dani, Sr.Counsel i/b.Ravi Bhardwaj, Ajitkumar M. Udane for
the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.Kulkarni for respondent no.1 Corporation.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL, C.J.
& G.S. KULKARNI, J.**

31st October, 2018.

P.C.

The petitioner's grievance is against the joint ownership of the property. It is submitted that without his consent the plans are sanctioned and the construction is coming up. It is submitted by the petitioner that a complaint has been lodged with the Corporation.

2. We prima-facie find that if petitioner is aggrieved against the

cause of joint ownership, he has remedy before alternate forum. However, if any grievance is made regarding the exercise of powers of Corporation, the same can be looked into. The petitioner is at liberty to resort to alternate remedy in respect of protecting his right as joint owner.

3. Without expressing any opinion with regard to petitioner's status as joint owner, Writ Petition stands disposed of.

G.S.KULKARNI, J

CHIEF JUSTICE

L.S. Panjwani, P.S.