

*Nalawade*FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1369 OF 2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.712 OF 2016

Ratnadip Balaso Gaikwad and anr.

vs.

State of Maharashtra and anr.

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
--	---------------------------

Mr. Pratap Patil for the Applicant.

Smt. Anamika Malhotra, APP for the Respondent-State.

Smt. T.M. Khamkar for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 26th April, 2018

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned counsel appointed to represent the respondent No.2.

2. Mr. Patil fairly submitted that as of today prayer clause(a) does not survive and has become infructuous. He further submitted that in view of Section 357(2) of the Cr.P.C. the prayer clause (b) also does not survive.

Application is disposed off as infructuous.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)

