

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.518 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9918 OF 2016

SI Group India Private Limited Petitioner

Vs.

The Tehsildar, Rasal – Sudhagad
& Others

.... Respondents

Mr. S.V. Doijode with Ms Prakruti Joshi i/by Doijode
Associates for the Petitioner.

Mr. B.V. Samant, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.

DATE : JANUARY 25, 2018

P.C:

1. Heard Mr. Doijode, appearing for the petitioner.

Perused the petition and its annexures.

2. It is fairly stated that later on there were orders
passed in the writ petition and the civil writ petition itself came
to be disposed of as a result of which the barbed wire fencing

was removed but by the petitioner. In the facts and circumstances of the present case and when the statutory authorities are also arrayed as respondents, we do not think that we should pursue the contempt petition. It is disposed of.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)