

3. As in the petition, there is no averment regarding such settlement, the Petitioners have filed their separate Affidavits and also supported the fact of statement.

4. Petitioner No.1 Mr. Bherulal Fulchand Jain and Petitioner No.2 Mr. Ramesh Balkrishna Panmand are present in Court. Petitioner No.3 Mr. Talakshi Shamji Satia who is a senior citizen, is stated to be recuperating.

5. We have perused the FIR. It appears that only attempt made by the Petitioners was to bring on record the fact of bifurcation of Plot No.82. It does not appear from the statement that they had any intention to cause loss either to the complainant or then to Government. The dispute has arisen out of some misunderstanding and there is no public angle to it.

6. We, therefore, accept the joint request and make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)