

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1425 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.853 OF 2017

SULEMAN SADDRODIN HUDDA)...APPLICANT

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

DEVIDAS NAMDEO CHANDANSHIV)...APPELLANT

V/s.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA)...RESPONDENT

Mr.Pratik Kalantri I/b. Mr.Chetan Damre, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs.M.R.Tidke, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 27th SEPTEMBER 2018

P.C. :

1 This is an application for intervention preferred by the alleged victim of the crime in question.

2 Heard the learned advocate appearing for the applicant. He submits that as the applicant is the victim of the crime in question, he needs to be heard, and therefore he has moved an application for intervention.

3 None for the appellant/accused. The learned APP appears for the State.

4 The applicant who is the alleged victim of the crime in question, though cannot address the court in the prosecution launched by the State, he can certainly assist the learned APP.

5 In this view of the matter, the application is disposed of with an order that the applicant is permitted to assist the prosecutor in conducting the instant appeal.

6 The application is accordingly disposed off.

**Arti Vilas
Khatate**

Digitally signed by
Arti Vilas Khatate
Date: 2018.10.01
13:56:55 +0530

avk

(A. M. BADAR, J.)