

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.4290 OF 2018

Dipak Narayan Devare

..Petitioner

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Amit A. Gharte for the Petitioner.

Mr. F. R. Shaikh, APP for the Respondent.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 26/11/2018.

P.C.:

. The identical matter in which grievance made by the petitioner against cognizance of complaint filed by borrower Mr. Pritam Gholap is disposed of today in Writ Petition No.4278/2018.

2. Heard. The complainant is guarantor. He claims that he did not sign any loan papers. FIR is of the year 2016 and chargesheet is already presented.

3. The petitioner pointed out that loan proposal is ultimately sanctioned by board of directors of bank and as such the petitioner cannot be blamed in the matter. The petitioner at the relevant time was Branch Manager and while invoking extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court he has not taken any specific defence.

4. In the situation we are not inclined to intervene. We dispose of the petition with liberty to the petitioner to take steps as are open in law.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)