

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1138 OF 2018

Vineeth Sukumaran Pillai & Ors.

.... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

- Mr.Viral K. Rathod a/w Mr.Tushar V. Dube, Advocate for Petitioner.
- Mr.Sudip Mallick, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
- Mrs.A.S. Pai, APP for Respondent/State.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 29th NOVEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicants, who are present with their Counsel, as also Respondent No.2 who is present with his advocate.
2. Respondent No.2 has tendered affidavit stating that he does not want to continue with prosecution.

3. He submits that his daughter Nima is now married with Applicant No.1 and staying with Applicant No.1.
4. Offence registered is u/s 143, 145, 452, 323 and 504 of Indian Penal Code. It appears that relationship between Vineeth Pillai, Applicant No.1 and daughter of Respondent No.2 led to this incident.
5. As there is no public angle and parties have amicably settled the controversy, we accept the joint request and make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (A).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)