

also representing his mother i.e. applicant No.2. Heard learned Counsel for respondent No.2 - original complainant and learned APP for State.

3. The complainant is present before the Court. The complainant has stated that the FIR was lodged on account of matrimonial dispute. There was also matrimonial dispute between her and applicant No.1 which was pending before the CJSD, Thane. As the dispute was amicably settled between her and applicant No.1 who was then her husband, consent terms were filed between the parties and the matrimonial dispute has been disposed of. She has stated that on account of the settlement between the parties, she does not wish to prosecute her case. She has also tendered affidavit to the above effect along with copy of Aadhar Card. The same are taken on record and marked 'X' collectively for identification.

4. In view of the facts of this case, we are of the opinion that the present case would clearly be covered by the

decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303.** Looking to the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and looking to the fact that the complainant does not wish to pursue the case, we are of the opinion that no purpose would be achieved by continuing with the prosecution in the said case. In this view of the matter, FIR No. 286 of 2014 of Navghar Police Station and the proceedings relating thereto are quashed.

5. The application is allowed in the above terms.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)