

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (STAMP) NO.27211 OF 2018**

Sharmista Govindlal Mody

.... Petitioner

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of  
Greater Mumbai and Ors.

.... Respondents

Mr. Amogh Singh, I/by Mr. Dhirendra P. Singh, and Mr. J.J. Shah, for the  
Petitioner.

Mrs. Madhuri More for the Respondent-Municipal Corporation.

**CORAM : DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.**

**DATE : 27<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2018.**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the Review Petitioner, and  
Mrs. More, learned counsel for the Respondent-Municipal Corporation.

2. This is an application seeking review of the order dated 14<sup>th</sup>  
September 2018 passed by this Court in Civil Application (Stamp)  
No.26655 of 2018., which was filed for restoration of the Appeal, which is  
already came to be dismissed for default on 6<sup>th</sup> August 2018.

3. While passing the said order, it was clearly observed that, the

Appellant was absent on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2018 and the matter was adjourned only as a last chance to 6<sup>th</sup> August 2018. On that day also, as no one was present and as a result, finding that even the order of ad-interim relief was not got extended from several dates, the Appeal was dismissed for default.

4. In such situation, as no case was made out for restoration of the Appeal or even for restoration of the ad-interim relief granted earlier, the said Civil Application was dismissed.

5. I do not find that any reason is made out to take review of the said order, when it is a speaking order giving all the reasons. Hence, the Review Petition stands dismissed.

**[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]**